| **Student Name:** Kelly Au |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house believes that countries should spend rather than save during a debt crisis |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Competition Score: | 72 | | | | | |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| **Teacher comments:**  [NOTE: Today’s speeches are 6 minutes’ long.]  After expanding what it’s like to be in a debt crisis, we need to explain why Prop’s stimulus proposal doesn’t address all of the harms you described! Based on Charles’ speech, it seems like he’s reversing all of that.  Well done recharacterising the state of the government’s coffers and why we don’t have sufficient funds for meaningful austerity measures!   * Don’t stop here, explain why even governments with a lot of money can run out of it because of the severity of the crisis worsening, coupled with external reasons that caused the crisis potentially getting worse over time.   On the counter set-up:   * Very well done characterising what austerity policies look like! * You need more strategic caveats here.   + Will you actively cut state spending on necessary public goods? Explain that you can minimise cutting spending on safety net policies. * What is your winning pathway?   On people suffering in the present, the claim that people will end up saving the money should be in a separate rebuttal explaining why stimulus packages will fail.   * Here, we should focus on the human costs, and why the state is actively responsible for oppressing the people via austerity policies.   Good flipping that the state has to restore confidence and solve the problem at its roots. But at this point, I’m not even sure what the root cause is and why specifically austerity is the only real solution.  Interesting rebuttal about the harms of receiving aid. We’re not focusing on the real harm of loss of sovereignty or why debt trap diplomacy ensures that the needs of your domestic society is deprioritised in comparison to China’s.  What is the engagement on the human costs?  On the loss of investor confidence, we need to be comparative to Prop’s analysis, because they argued the exact same.   * Prop says government intervention actively corrects for this, how will Opp deal with the fact that investor confidence is already low during a recession?   + While you’re saying it could get worse, the status quo is still quite bad and could spiral, so how does austerity deal with the current recession?     - Because Prop actually has an interventionist measure that interrupts the recession, so it isn’t clear what Opp's sustainable path to recovery is.   + Nice explanation on the deteriorating credit rating which makes it far more expensive to continue borrowing, as the interest rates snowball.   + Good analysis on this improves cash flow in the future with loans with better conditions, and enabling a future exit.     - Good conclusion on how this opens up a pathway for growth.   On the second argument, point out more clearly that stimulus packages require a lot of important conditions in order to work effectively. Explain why you think Prop will fail in meeting these conditions!   * E.g. Instead of saying the state won’t be efficient, tell me why the state definitely is not efficient because they’re the reason why we’re in the debt crisis to begin with.   + What are these precise economic policies that the state needs to do but may not do?   + E.g. Explain why these external factors will likely remain the same even after stimulus practices. * Spend some time impacting on why failure here would lead to a long-term spiral.   Please offer more POIs today!  6.25 | | | | | | |